

FORMAL UCB NOTICE

NOTICE OF UNREASONABLE CONDUCT AND FORMAL WARNING



To	Victor Elliott, c/o Independent Broad-based Anti-corruption Commission (IBAC)
IBAC address	Level 3, 2 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne VIC 3000, Australia
References	CASE-20246844 / CASE-20254709
Date	11 September 2026
Policy	https://martinnewbold.co.uk/Unreasonable_Behaviour_Policy.php
Status	FORMAL WARNING - preservation and response required

Dear Victor Elliot,

FORMAL WARNING UNDER MY PUBLISHED UNREASONABLE BEHAVIOUR POLICY

I hereby place you, and IBAC insofar as you act on its behalf, on formal notice concerning the restriction, obstruction and proposed limitation of communications relating to matters already identified by IBAC under complaint references CASE-20246844 and CASE-20254709.

This notice is not an attempt to reopen a concluded decision merely by repetition. It concerns the integrity of the evidential record, the handling and preservation of information, the administration of complaints, access to information, the transparency of contact restrictions, and subsequent conduct by IBAC itself.

IBAC's letter dated 7 September 2026 stated that further contact might be limited and asserted that complaint outcomes were final and that additional information would not be accepted for consideration after a decision had been made. Earlier IBAC correspondence concerning CASE-20246844 nevertheless recorded the complaint and information supplied, stated that information received by IBAC is recorded, and expressly distinguished the complaint decision from the continuing request for information concerning Operation Dunham.

1. DOCUMENTED COMMUNICATION INCONSISTENCY

The email address Victor.Elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au has been used in correspondence concerning these matters.

On 31 December 2024, a message addressed to victor.elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au generated a delivery failure stating that the address could not be found or was unable to receive email.

On 11 September 2026, a further message addressed to Victor.Elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au was rejected by IBAC's mail system with the response: '550 5.4.1 Recipient address rejected: Access denied.'

At the same time, IBAC continues to receive and send correspondence through its shared institutional addresses. The status, routing and availability of the individual address therefore require a clear written explanation. I do not at this stage assert a motive for the rejection; I require the factual position to be identified and preserved.

2. CONDUCT NOW PLACED ON WARNING

1. Any restriction on my communications must identify the responsible officer, the precise scope of the restriction, its legal or policy basis, its duration, and any review mechanism relied upon.
2. A contact restriction must not be used to prevent the preservation, receipt, recording or proper handling of material relevant to an existing complaint, evidential record, information request or complaint about IBAC's subsequent conduct.
3. IBAC must not treat this notice as a withdrawal, abandonment or waiver of CASE-20246844, CASE-20254709, any information request, any preservation request, or any point already raised in correspondence.
4. Where an individual IBAC address rejects correspondence, IBAC must identify the authorised route by which correspondence intended for that officer is to be delivered and must state whether the individual mailbox is disabled, blocked, restricted, non-existent or otherwise unavailable.
5. Any future response that materially limits contact while failing to address the substance of these matters will be recorded under my published Unreasonable Behaviour Policy as further conduct relevant to the chronology.
6. Nothing in this notice purports to interfere with any lawful statutory function of IBAC. It requires transparency, proper record preservation and an accountable route for necessary communication.

3. REQUIRED RESPONSE

1. Acknowledge receipt of this formal notice.
2. Identify the officer responsible for the 7 September 2026 contact-limitation decision and provide that officer's name and position.
3. Explain the status of Victor.Elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au, including why messages to that address were rejected in December 2024 and again on 11 September 2026.
4. Confirm the official route through which material intended for Victor Elliot is to be served or otherwise brought formally to his attention.
5. Confirm that the documents, email-routing records, bounce records, complaint records and internal communications relevant to these matters are being preserved.

4. PRESERVATION NOTICE

Please preserve all correspondence, email headers, server logs, routing and rejection logs, mailbox configuration records, complaint records, internal notes, case-management entries, decision records and internal communications relating to Victor Elliot, CASE-20246844, CASE-20254709, the proposed limitation of my contact, and the receipt or rejection of my correspondence. This request includes records capable of establishing when any mailbox restriction was created, altered or removed and by whom.

5. SERVICE AND PUBLIC RECORD

This notice is intended to be transmitted directly to IBAC through available institutional email routes and to be contemporaneously published as a fixed public record. Any delivery confirmation or rejection generated by an IBAC mail server is to be retained with the service record. Publication is additional evidence of the precise notice given and the date on which it was made public; this paragraph does not purport to determine the rules governing service of any future court-issued process.

6. EVIDENCE SCHEDULE

1. IBAC acknowledgement letter dated 24 December 2024 - CASE-20246844.
2. IBAC assessment outcome dated 5 February 2025 - CASE-20246844.
3. IBAC 'Repeated correspondence' letter dated 7 September 2026 - CASE-20254709.
4. Delivery Status Notification relating to victor.elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au dated 31 December 2024.
5. Delivery Status Notification relating to Victor.Elliott@ibac.vic.gov.au dated 11 September 2026.
6. Email dated 11 September 2026 headed 'Re: Notification of contact limitation' sent to CAS@ibac.vic.gov.au and copied to IBAC and other recipients.

For the avoidance of doubt: this notice records a formal warning under my published policy. It does not allege that the email rejection, by itself, proves criminal conduct or deliberate interference; the unexplained inconsistency is the matter requiring a substantive response and preservation of evidence.

Yours sincerely,

Martin Newbold

www.martinnewbold.co.uk
www.thestealingofamily.co.uk
www.stealingofamily.world